Fault Maintenance Trees: Combining fault trees, maintenance, and stochastic model checking

Enno Ruijters

December 7, 2015

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン 三日

















- 2 Fault tree analysis
- 3 Maintenance
- 4 Case study



Introduction to fault trees

- Developed in 1961 by Nuclear Regulatory Agency
- Question: How reliable is your system?
- Now used by:

Introduction to fault trees

- Developed in 1961 by Nuclear Regulatory Agency
- Question: How reliable is your system?
- Now used by:



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Why fault trees?

- Some things really should not fail
- Risk assessment is sometimes mandatory



What to we want to know?

Quantitative:

 Reliability ≡ Probability of failure within time t Example: Probability of containment failure within 25 year nuclear plant lifetime

・ロン ・四 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ

What to we want to know?

Quantitative:

- Reliability ≡ Probability of failure within time t Example: Probability of containment failure within 25 year nuclear plant lifetime
- Availability \equiv Proportion of time (in $[0, \infty)$ or [0, t]) spent not failed

Example: Amazon EC2 cloud offers SLA of 99.95% uptime

What to we want to know?

Quantitative:

- Reliability ≡ Probability of failure within time t Example: Probability of containment failure within 25 year nuclear plant lifetime
- Availability ≡ Proportion of time (in [0,∞) or [0, t]) spent not failed
 Example: Amazon EC2 cloud offers SLA of 99.95% uptime

Evaluated an of follower = Evaluated number of times

 Expected nr. of failures = Expected number of times a failure occurs within some timeframe *Example*: How frequently will my car break down?

What to we want to know?

Quantitative:

- Reliability ≡ Probability of failure within time t Example: Probability of containment failure within 25 year nuclear plant lifetime
- Availability ≡ Proportion of time (in [0,∞) or [0, t]) spent not failed

Example: Amazon EC2 cloud offers SLA of 99.95% uptime

- Expected nr. of failures = Expected number of times a failure occurs within some timeframe *Example*: How frequently will my car break down?
- Costs of failures and repairs
- Others (MTBF, etc.)













(□) (問) (言) (言) (言) (言) (?) 7/38

Fault trees

- Describe combinations of faults leading to failures
- Root of tree: Top Event; i.e. system failure
- Leaves: Basic Events; i.e. elementary failures and faults
- Nodes: Gates; describe how faults combine



Figure: Images of the elements in a standard fault tree

Fault tree example





イロト 不同下 イヨト イヨト

- Redundant CPUs
- 1 shared spare memory unit

э

Example of fault tree failure propagation

No failures



Example of fault tree failure propagation

• Failure of M1



- Failure of M1
- Failure of C1



- Failure of M1
- Failure of C1



- Failure of M1
- Failure of C1
- Failure of M2



- Failure of M1
- Failure of C1
- Failure of M2



- Failure of M1
- Failure of C1
- Failure of M2



Fault tree analysis

Given leaf failure rates, we can perform analysis

• Obtain reliability, availability, etc.

Limitations:

- External variables (e.g. temperature)
- Use measures (e.g. total time / duration of use)
- Assumption: Failure rates are fixed



Extensions have been developed to model:

- Uncertainty
- Dependent events
- Repairs and repair policies
- Timing requirements
- State machines (e.g. software)
- Our current work: Maintenance

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

3













(ロ) (部) (言) (言) (言) (38)

Importance of maintenance



◆□ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → </p>

Types of maintenance

By timing:

- Preventive maintenance
 - Periodic repair/replacement
 - Inspection
- Corrective maintenance

By result:

- 'As good as new' replacement
 - example: Replace battery
- Reduced failure rate
 - example: Oil change

Maintenance strategy

• What maintenance actions to do on which components?

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

- When to perform preventive maintenance?
 - Type of schedule (clock based, etc.)
 - Frequency
- How to react to failures?

Modelling maintenance

- BEs have multiple states
 - Fully functional
 - Degraded
 - Failed
- Model non-exponential distributions
- Inspections respond to different states
- Example:



Modelling BEs

- Signals for composition:
 - Maintenance threshold
 - Repair
 - Failure
- Other models will send/receive these signals



Modelling RDEPs

- Some failure accelerate wear of other components.
- Failure of trigger BE accelerates degradation.
- Rates increase by factor γ .
- Repair of trigger BE does not repair triggered BE.

Modelling inspections and repairs

Repair module:

- Periodically start repairs (optional)
- Inspection may trigger repairs early

Inspection module:

- Periodically perform inspection
- If threshold reached: Start repair

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

26 / 38

• Otherwise: Do nothing

Maintenance analysis

- Currently using simulation (Uppaal-smc)
- Advangates:
 - Ease of modelling
 - Arbitrary probability distributions
- Disadvantages:
 - Inexact results
 - Speed
- Future: Input/Output Markov Reward Automata

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日





- 2 Fault tree analysis
- 3 Maintenance





(□) (部) (言) (言) (言) (こ) (28/38)

EI-Joint

Case study: Railway component

- Data obtained from ProRail experts
- Maintenance: Periodic inspections, repairs
- Costs for inspections, repairs, and failures
- Complication: Dependent failure rates
 - Some faults accelerate other failures.
 - Solution: RDEP (rate-dependency) gate



Case study



Analysis results: failure causes



Analysis results: unreliability



Analysis results: costs



Analysis results: inspection rate



Analysis results: other strategies



Conclusions on El-joints

- Cost-optimal inspection frequency around 4 times per year.
- Cost approximately flat from 2 to 6 inspection per year.
- More failures can be prevented, but not cost-effectively.





- 2 Fault tree analysis
- 3 Maintenance
- 4 Case study





- Our method integrates maintenance in fault trees.
- We can compute quantitative metrics to compare maintenance strategies.
- We demonstrated our method on an industrial case study.